## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
) 6:13-170-1
) -versus) December 10, 2013
)
GORDON L. HALL,
Defendant.

TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE HONORABLE J. MICHELLE CHILDS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, presiding

## APPEARANCES:

For the Government: WILLIAM J. WATKINS, JR, AUSA

US Attorney's Office

55 Beattie Place, Ste. 700

Greenville, SC 29601

For the Defendant: BENJAMIN T. STEPP, ESQ.

Federal Public Defender 75 Beattie Place, Ste. 950

Greenville, SC 29601

Court Reporter: KAREN E. MARTIN, RMR, CRR

300 E. Washington Street

Room 304

Greenville, SC 29601

The proceedings were taken by mechanical stenography and the transcript produced by computer.

1	Tuesday, December 10, 2013
2	THE COURT: Let's bring Mr. Gordon Hall in,
3	please.
4	(WHEREUPON, Defendant Gordon Hall came into open
5	court.)
6	THE CLERK: Mr. Hall, would you please raise
7	your right hand for the affirmation oath, please.
8	MR. GORDON HALL: Would it be all right if I
9	just affirm under penalty of perjury?
10	THE COURT: Just listen to her question.
11	(WHEREUPON, the defendant was affirmed.)
12	MR. GORDON HALL: It would be my solemn
13	affirmation.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Hall, the Court is informed that
15	you wish to change your prior plea of not guilty to either
16	a plea of guilty or nolo contendre; is that correct?
17	MR. GORDON HALL: To nolo contendre is correct,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Okay. And before I can accept your
20	plea of nolo contendre, it's necessary for me to make sure
21	that your plea is made freely and voluntarily. Therefore,
22	I will have to ask you certain questions, relay certain
23	statements to you to make sure that you understand, again,
24	that your plea is entered into freely and voluntarily.
25	Please let me know if you don't understand a question or a

```
1
     statement that I make to you so that I can restate it or
 2
     rephrase it. Otherwise, is it fair to assume that you
 3
     understand the question or statement when you respond to
 4
     it?
               MR. GORDON HALL: That would be a fair
 5
 6
     statement, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. And to the extent you need to
     speak with your attorney at any time during the
 8
     proceedings, just let me know and I'll allow the two of
9
     you to step aside. Okay?
10
11
               MR. GORDON HALL: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you understand that
13
     you are now under oath. And if you answer any of my
14
     questions falsely, your answers may later be used against
15
     you in another prosecution for perjury or making a false
16
     statement?
17
               MR. GORDON HALL: Yes.
               THE COURT: How old are you?
18
19
               MR. GORDON HALL:
                                 60.
20
               THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
21
               MR. GORDON HALL: Through high school.
22
               THE COURT: Do you clearly know how to --
               MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me. I'm assuming --
23
24
     excuse me, Your Honor. You're requesting formal public
     education?
25
```

1	THE COURT: Yes, public or private.
2	MR. GORDON HALL: That's correct.
3	THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand clearly
4	how to read, write, and speak the English language?
5	MR. GORDON HALL: I think I have a reasonable
6	grasp on the language, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Anything that has prohibited
8	you from having communications with your attorney or with
9	the Court in any regard?
0	MR. GORDON HALL: Not that I'm aware of at this
l 1	time.
12	THE COURT: Are you under the influence of any
13	alcohol, drugs, or medications, even if prescription
14	medication?
15	MR. GORDON HALL: No.
16	THE COURT: Have you ever been evaluated for
17	your mental or psychological health?
8	MR. GORDON HALL: A question, Your Honor?
19	THE COURT: Any type of evaluation from a
20	psychologist, psychiatrist, or even an evaluation
21	reflecting your mental health?
22	MR. GORDON HALL: Would the evaluation that was
23	ordered by this Court previously be considered such?
24	THE COURT: That would be sufficient. Thank
25	you. Okay. And in that evaluation, you were found to be

1 competent; is that correct? 2 MR. GORDON HALL: That is what the report stated to the best of my knowledge. 3 4 THE COURT: Do you have any disagreement with that finding? 5 6 MR. GORDON HALL: Do we define competency as 7 capable of handling one's own business? THE COURT: And knowing legal right from wrong. 8 MR. GORDON HALL: In that capacity -- I'm not 9 trying to be difficult here, Your Honor. In the legal 10 capacity, obviously, previously I have not always been in 11 honor with this Court, specifically April 10th and a few 12 other times, September 9th, wherein I didn't understand my 13 duties and responsibilities. So at that time I could say 14 15 I might have thought I understood it but I, obviously, did 16 not. And I see that today clearly. Today I believe that 17 I understand them. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And any conditions with your 19 mental health affecting your ability to proceed today? 20 MR. GORDON HALL: I don't believe so, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 And is counsel aware of any condition affecting 24 his mental health or his competency? 25 MR. STEPP: I have no issues that he's competent

1 to proceed on this hearing today and this whole process. THE COURT: Okay. 2 3 Well, the Court would so find that you are 4 competent to plead to the charges that are before the Court. And I so find for purposes of the record. 5 6 Now, have you had enough time to speak with your 7 attorney about the nature of your charges, your maximum possible punishment, and your constitutional rights? 8 9 MR. GORDON HALL: I have, Judge. THE COURT: Has your attorney also shared with 10 11 you evidence that the Government has that it intended to 12 use against you if this case were to go to trial? 13 MR. GORDON HALL: He has offered to do such. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Are you satisfied with your 15 attorney's representation? 16 MR. GORDON HALL: As it relates to your 17 question, I believe that his representation in this matter 18 is -- I don't have any problem with. In the capacity, I 19 mean, I've attempted to terminate Ben Stepp because I felt 20 that that would be a problem to have -- receive a public 21 benefit while one is trying to personally be responsible 22 for the charges. 23 THE COURT: But did it have anything to do with 24 any dissatisfaction on your part as to him? 25 MR. GORDON HALL: Only to the extent as

previously indicated.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there anything additionally you'd like for Mr. Stepp to do at this time?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  GORDON HALL: I have no requests of  ${\operatorname{Mr}}.$  Stepp previously nor at this time.

THE COURT: Okay. And then, again, if you need to speak with him at all during the proceedings, just let the Court know.

Now, do you understand that under the constitution and laws of the United States, you have the right to plead not guilty. And if you plead not guilty, you are entitled to a trial by a jury on the charges contained in the indictment?

MR. GORDON HALL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you decided to plead not guilty and request a trial by jury, you would also be entitled to a number of procedural rights as the defendant. And I want to list these rights for you so that you will have a clear understanding of what you will give up if you plead guilty.

At a trial you would have the right to the assistance of counsel for your defense. You would be presumed to be innocent. And the Government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found

guilty. And you would not have to prove that you were innocent.

You would also have witnesses for the Government who would have to come to court and testify in your presence. And your attorney could cross-examine the witnesses for the Government, object to evidence offered by the Government, and offer evidence on your behalf.

And while you would have the right to testify if you chose to do so, you also have the right not to testify. And if you decided not to testify, I would have to inform the jury that they could not consider your failure to testify in any regard and certainly not in their deliberations should this case go to trial.

You would also have the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify in your defense.

Do you understand these rights I have just outlined for you?

MR. GORDON HALL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if you plead nolo contendre and I accept your plea, you will give up your right to a jury trial and the other rights I have just listed for you, there will be no trial, and I will enter a judgment of guilty or nolo contendre and sentence you on the basis of your guilty or nolo contendre plea?

```
MR. GORDON HALL: Yes, Your Honor. As long as
 1
 2
     it's in concert with the plea agreement, yes.
 3
               THE COURT:
                          Okay. And what plea agreement are
 4
     you referring to?
               MR. GORDON HALL: The plea agreement that was
 5
 6
     before this Court that's been entered into this court
     between the Defendant and the Government --
 7
               THE COURT:
 8
                           Okay.
               MR. GORDON HALL: -- dated November 25th.
9
               THE COURT: Okay. There -- my understanding is
10
     that the Government offered you and Mr. Benton Hall a
11
12
     written plea agreement. Have you accepted that plea
13
     agreement?
14
               MR. GORDON HALL: Which plea are you speaking
15
          The first one that they offered?
16
               THE COURT: Yes.
17
               MR. GORDON HALL: We have worked out a
18
     subsequent plea agreement between the parties, Your Honor,
19
     as presented to this Court.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. So is the answer to my first
21
     question that you have not accepted the original plea
22
     agreement offered?
               MR. GORDON HALL: We countered that, Your Honor.
23
24
               THE COURT: Okay. So does your countering
25
     indicate that you have not accepted the plea agreement
```

1 that they have written just as it was stated? 2 MR. GORDON HALL: I think we accepted the plea agreement with some modifications. 3 4 THE COURT: Okay. And the plea agreement that you're referring to, is that Entry No. 175 that's entitled 5 Notice of Entry of amended plea agreement dated 6 December 9, 2013? 7 MR. GORDON HALL: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And then it had that as three pages. 9 And then attached to it is Entry No. 175-1, Amended Plea 10 11 Agreement dated the same date. Is that what you're 12 referring to? 13 MR. GORDON HALL: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Now, you understand that this was 15 entered on the system as a Notice of Entry of Amended Plea 16 Agreement. So it has not been accepted by the Court. 17 is just a filing at this point. MR. GORDON HALL: If my understanding is correct 18 19 that I understand that and it's subject to accepting the nolo contendre plea. 20 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 Now, Mr. Watkins, have you, for purposes of this record, received or been notified of this Entry No. 175 23 and 175-1? 24 25 MR. WATKINS: Yes, ma'am.

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. Have you in any way accepted
 2
     it orally or in writing?
 3
               MR. WATKINS: No, ma'am.
 4
               THE COURT: Okav.
               Is that what you understand, Mr. Gordon Hall?
 5
 6
               MR. GORDON HALL: I understand that mutual
     assent has been effectuated in this case.
 7
               THE COURT: And what's your basis for that?
 8
               MR. GORDON HALL: Is it not true that the public
9
     policy -- a couple of the primary principles of public
10
     policy relating such that one of them is reasonable
11
12
     expectations? Do I not have a right to expect in the --
     let me see if I can --
13
14
               THE COURT: But when you refer to mutual assent,
15
     that assumes that someone is in agreement with you.
16
     That's what the term mutual would mean. So who are you
17
     referring to when you say mutual assent?
               MR. GORDON HALL: The Government.
18
19
               THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Watkins has just
20
     represented that neither orally or in writing has he
21
     accepted this, Document No. 175 and 175-1.
               MR. GORDON HALL:
                                 That's a true statement.
22
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. GORDON HALL:
24
                                 However --
               THE COURT:
25
                           Okay.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GORDON HALL: Did you ask him if he tacitly acquiesced and did he not receive a second notice and a subsequent third notice regarding said plea agreement to which he tacitly acquiesced to? And do I not have a right --THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, are you aware of any other documents that have come in to you since these entries? MR. WATKINS: Your Honor, he's -- I'm aware that Mr. Hall's sent me a number of pieces of paperwork regarding these agreements. THE COURT: And this agreement is dated December 9. Today is December 10. So I'm saying since this one. MR. WATKINS: I don't think I got anything since yesterday. But, of course, his paperwork indicated that unless I responded to him in writing that, you know, I was in default and he would hold me in default. But as far as Your Honor's held that the Uniform Commercial Code and such doesn't apply in this case, I disregarded those notices. THE COURT: The other thing is the Court put an order in that said since you are now represented by counsel, you and Mr. Benton Hall are not to file any

> Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR US District Court District of South Carolina

individual documents with the Court. All such

communications to the Government should go through your counsel.

I did allow an exception when I realized that you all were attempting to negotiate to allow Mr. Watkins, and anyone on his behalf as the Government, to come in your presence with your attorneys and speak directly to you so that there would be no miscommunication about what your questions were to the Government and what the Government's responses were to you. So I did allow that exception.

But outside of that, the Court's order was that once you were represented by counsel to have no further communications with the Government. So that is part of potentially why he has not responded.

MR. GORDON HALL: Well, let me ask this --

THE COURT: Because you're represented by counsel. And it's not legal or ethical for him to respond to you outside of your counsel.

MR. GORDON HALL: Let me ask this. Is it legal or ethical to deny due process and equal protection under the law? Is he not required -- is any of us not required, before the taking of life, liberty, or property, due process and equal protection under the law? And do I not have a right --

THE COURT: You're getting due process now as

```
1
     we're here for this hearing.
 2
               MR. GORDON HALL: -- under --
 3
               THE COURT: But when I asked you --
 4
               MR. GORDON HALL: -- under nolo contendre --
     excuse me, Your Honor. You're speaking. Allow me to --
 5
 6
               THE COURT: Go ahead.
               MR. GORDON HALL: -- shut up for a moment.
 7
               THE COURT: Well, you're getting due process
 8
9
           We're having this hearing that allows you to
10
     indicate your intentions with respect to the charges
11
     against you and whether or not you wish to plea guilty or
12
     not.
               MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me.
13
               THE COURT: One moment.
14
15
          (WHEREUPON, the Court spoke with the law clerk
16
          privately.)
17
               THE COURT: So I need, while you have this on
     the system as a notice of a document, Mr. Watkins, on
18
     behalf of the Government has stated that he didn't in
19
20
     writing or orally accept the plea agreement.
21
               Mr. Watkins, I'd like to take it a step further.
22
     Upon your review of it, do you have any intention of any
     further consideration or deliberations over this to try to
23
24
     accept it?
25
               MR. WATKINS:
                             No, ma'am.
                                         I explained to
```

Mr. Hall when I went to the jail about two weeks ago with Ms. Salvini and Mr. Stepp and Agent Durgin what the Government's plea offer would be and the paperwork we required, explained to him I would not be further responding to the documents that he sent to me and, you know, no, I'm not going to consider the -- his latest filing with the Court.

THE COURT: Okay.

He has indicated that he does not intend to accept your counteroffer amended plea agreement. And so the -- there is no agreement on record that the Court understands between the parties. Do you have a different understanding?

MR. GORDON HALL: My understanding is -- I understand that he has chosen not to further respond or to continue under a -- if I have it correctly, Judge, correct me if I'm wrong, under nolo contendre has for its purpose the promotion of disposition of criminal cases by compromise. Mr. Watkins sent an offer that deviated from the original offer which was a compromise on his part. We accepted that offer with some changes. Mr. Watkins then responded back to that offer. We then responded back to Mr. Watkins again, to which he then indicated that he would not further respond, which was an indication to me under the rules as I understand them that if he doesn't

want to respond, that that's acceptance. Because in modern contract law, mutual assent is determined by an objective standard; that is, by the apparent intention of the parties as manifested by their actions.

THE COURT: There is an order on the system that says that the defendants now being represented by counsel, and even before that when there was an interim period in which I was giving consideration as to whether or not I would allow you to represent yourself, so there's two orders on system, during that time when I was considering whether or not I would allow you to represent yourselves, I said there should be no more communications, no more filings by you individually.

Once I did have that hearing and then determined that I was going to allow Mr. Stepp to represent you, I then reiterated no more filings or communications other than through your counsel. So because Mr. Watkins is held to the professional and ethical responsibilities attendant to his bar license, he could not respond to you directly. He could only respond to your counsel.

He is now responding to you in open court to your counsel that he has neither accepted the offer in writing, and I'm referring to the amended plea agreement, he has not done so orally, and he also has no intentions to accept it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So as we stand here, I do not have a plea agreement that has been accepted. And I do not hold him in default for not having accepted it because he has violated no rule since he had to respond through counsel. MR. GORDON HALL: I am in agreement with you that he has stated that he did not orally respond or in writing. And I conditionally accept that by his actions or his apparent intention by not responding that I don't have a right to rely under public policy and under the rules of -- and this is all contract because this is -what we're trying to get to is an agreement between the parties, that we don't have a mutual assent by his actions. THE COURT: Let me ask you this. We are here because you have indicated to the Court that you wish to change a prior plea of not guilty to a plea of nolo contendre. Is that correct? Is that your understanding of this proceeding? MR. GORDON HALL: My understanding of this proceeding is partially that. THE COURT: Okay. MR. GORDON HALL: The other part is that I've changed that plea of nolo contendre based on the promises in the plea agreement between the parties.

THE COURT: So you are only going forward on a

plea of nolo contendre assuming that you have a plea agreement with the Government; is that correct?

MR. GORDON HALL: Well, I'm going forward on that basis, not as an assumption but as a presumption; that is, facts indicated, i.e., that -- and I ask this, where -- where, when acting in the capacity responsibly to both set off the substantive charges and follow the procedural rules without any objection thereto in writing does not constitute honorable resolution that should not be opposed.

THE COURT: Okay. I've indicated, again, there was a point at which you were always represented by counsel. And then there was a point at which I asked, basically, the lawyers to stand down until I evaluated and allowed you to have a hearing to exercise your right to want to be your own counsel and then told you all not to make any individual filings to the Court until we could get through that interim period.

Once I got your counsel reinstated and made the decision that it was not knowingly and voluntarily entered for you to be your own counsel, there was another order instituted that said no further filings with the Court. Even though you have made these filings, Mr. Watkins has reviewed them. He's indicated orally and in writing that he has not accepted your amended plea agreement and he has

no intentions to do so.

I am not going to hold him in default. So, therefore, the original plea agreement has not been accepted by you. You've instead countered. He has rejected that offer.

The Court does not engage into these negotiations between the parties with respect to it. I just look to what you have negotiated and then decide whether or not it is fair and equitable under the circumstances.

You are indicating to this Court that your intentions to plead nolo contendre here today are subject to the acceptance of this agreement that you believe the Government has accepted. I have made a finding that there is no plea agreement and that the Government has not engaged in any wrongful conduct with respect to accepting or not accepting this particular agreement.

So we stand there now as to whether or not this plea can even go forward since you are indicating to the Court it was conditioned on this amended plea agreement.

MR. GORDON HALL: Allow me to elucidate a little bit regarding my understanding. Your order, as I recall, said the type of filings. And the type of filings, as I understood it at that time, were ones that were not bringing resolution to the matter.

And when we altered our filings and grasped an understanding as indicated in the September 9th transcript on, I believe, Page 29 that we needed to -- if we were going to have any resolution, it would be pursuant to the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 11. Rule 11 is as we all understand it. Rule 11, under nolo contendre plea, my understanding is has as its purpose the promotion of disposition of criminal cases by compromise.

Mr. Watkins and I entered into negotiations.

And if it's on behalf of the Government in accordance with -- I would ask, are you telling me that when, under Bouvier's 8th, if the nation comes down from its position of sovereignty and enters the domain of commerce, it subjects it -- it submits itself to all the rules of commerce that govern individuals therein. It assumes the position of ordinary citizen and it cannot recede from the fulfillment of its obligations.

In addition, does not Mr. Watkins, i.e., the Government, have a duty as an implied covenant in good faith and fair dealing to see to it that there's no injury to the benefits of the contract?

THE COURT: Okay. Even if I assume that it was okay for you to file this particular amended plea agreement to indicate your intentions to the Government about the counteroffer to their original offer, even if we

allowed that to be accepted, in this particular amended plea agreement, you make such statements as indicated on Entry No. 175-1, Page 3, for example, in Paragraph 7(a), that your desire is to have the Court to suspend all further imprisonment on the Defendant Gordon L. Hall on any sentence on the basis of being in the interest of the public in effective administration of justice. And in 7(b), you're also indicating that supervised release in this matter will be either suspended or unsupervised.

Do you understand that even entering a plea of nolo contendre, we will get a Presentence Investigation Report from probation. It will indicate what your sentencing guidelines are. Those guidelines can include a term of imprisonment. It can also include supervised release to be followed by that term of imprisonment. It could include restitution to be owed by you. This agreement does not reflect that you would have any of those types of things in terms of any period of sentence for you. And so the Court does not even have to accept this agreement.

You need to understand that a plea of nolo contendre does not indicate that there will be no jail time, no supervised release, no restitution, and essentially a wash of your criminal charges. The Court still gets to sentence you on the basis of the facts

tendered to this Court by the Government because nolo contendre means that you are not contesting that information. You're not admitting to it, but you're not contesting it either. So I would have to take all of that into consideration to fashion any appropriate sentence.

So this agreement does not reflect you understand that it's still the Court's prerogative with respect to sentencing. It's my authority.

MR. GORDON HALL: Allow me to elucidate. I don't have any problem with you sentencing the defendant at all, so long as it's in accordance with said plea agreement.

THE COURT: But there is no plea agreement.

MR. GORDON HALL: In the November 5th -- 25th plea agreement submitted to this Court. I understand your position where you indicated -- you indicated -- I've lost my thought on that. It was an important point. You indicated that --

THE COURT: Okay. And just so we're clear, you keep referring to November 25th. I don't have any document filed as November 25th. I believe you're referring to Entry No. 175 in that you've signed it and dated it November 25th. But it's actually been filed with the Court on December 9.

MR. GORDON HALL: Well, under the mailbox rule,

```
1
     is it not deemed received on the day it's put into the
 2
     mail?
               THE COURT: Well, I'm not suggesting your dates
 3
 4
     are wrong. I'm just saying that when you keep saying
     that, I want to make sure the record is clear that you're
 5
     still referring to this amended plea agreement dated
 6
     November 25, 2013, although filed in this court on
 7
     December 9, 2013. But it's the same document.
 8
9
               MR. GORDON HALL: I believe we're speaking of
     the same document.
10
11
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
12
               MR. GORDON HALL: I'm just not in the position
13
     to seek --
14
               THE COURT: I wanted to make sure there wasn't
     an additional document out there.
15
16
               MR. GORDON HALL: If the Court chooses after
17
     receiving a document within a couple of days of the 25th
     and wants to hold it for nine days or ten days or two
18
19
     weeks before they file it, I'm not in position to compel
     them to do anything other than that.
20
21
               THE COURT: Well, the Court, apparently with its
22
     stamp, received the document on December 9. So while you
     dated it one day, that's the date it actually got
23
24
     receipted in the courthouse and was entered on the same
25
     day.
```

```
1
               MR. GORDON HALL: Perhaps you could ask the
 2
     Clerk of the Court, was there not a certificate of service
 3
     indicating it was put in the mail on the 25th?
 4
               THE COURT:
                           It may have been but we file it when
     we receive it.
 5
               MR. STEPP:
                           Judge, if I could just?
 6
               THE COURT:
 7
                          Sure.
               MR. STEPP: I have document -- ECF Document 175
 8
     and 175-1 in front of me on the table. And if Mr. Hall
9
     can look at those and verify we're all talking about --
10
11
     you're all talking about the same thing, then it might
12
     clarify. The dates might be not particularly relevant.
               THE COURT: Not particularly relevant, exactly,
13
14
     because even though the 175, it says, Respectfully
15
     submitted this 4th day of December, with a signature
16
     there.
17
               MR. STEPP: Is that your signature there? And
     that there?
18
               MR. GORDON HALL: We have a date of 11/25/13. I
19
     think we have a certificate of service --
20
21
               THE COURT: That's for the 175-1. And if you go
     to the 175, it says, Respectfully submitted this 4th day
22
     of December 2013.
23
               MR. STEPP: Judge, also, Entry No. 177
24
     indicates -- the certificate of service he's referring to
25
```

purports to have his signature and Benton Hall's signature saying that they mailed this stuff on December the 4th.

THE COURT: Okay. So regardless, we're still talking about the amended plea agreement.

 $\mbox{MR. GORDON HALL:} \mbox{ I think we're still talking}$  the same document.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. But this document has not been accepted by the Government. Even if we allow the fact that they could consider it, it's not been accepted by them. And my question to you is, is your plea of nolo contendre contingent upon an acceptance of a plea agreement?

MR. GORDON HALL: Allow me to address that acceptance first, Your Honor, if I may?

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept your offer that the Government didn't accept that on proof of claim that receiving that document and choosing to ignore it still doesn't constitute -- an acceptance is defined as an offeree's assent either by express act or implication from conduct to the terms of an offer so that a binding contract is formed. The fact that the Government chooses -- and I agree with him where he said he did not orally or in writing. However, does he want to take the position that he's not bound by the rules of --

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. 1 2 MR. GORDON HALL: -- contract law --3 THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, since you --4 MR. GORDON HALL: -- constitutional safeguards and protections? 5 6 THE COURT: Mr. Watkins, did you get this document in any way other than just through the ECF 7 system? Was it mailed to your office or did you only get 8 it through the filing? 9 10 MR. WATKINS: I'm pretty sure that he or someone on his behalf mailed it as well. I got hard copies in my 11 12 mailbox. THE COURT: Okay. Did you receive it before 13 14 December 9? Do you know? 15 MR. WATKINS: I got it yesterday or day before, 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. So even then, we're just talking a couple of days. Yesterday was Monday, the day 18 19 before was Sunday. So even if you got it on Saturday and 20 somehow was at the office and got that mail, we're talking 21 two days. 22 So now upon having reviewed the document, he's indicated he does not intend to accept it. So the timing, 23 24 I don't want us to get sidetracked with all these dates. The issue is whether or not there is a plea offer in this 25

1 case.

MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Your Honor. Maybe we could ask him. You could ask Mr. Watkins did we not meet on November 25th?

THE COURT: And he understands -- I understand that you all did meet.

MR. GORDON HALL: Were you in possession of essentially this document on November 25th, this plea agreement?

Excuse me, I should be addressing you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. I believe Mr. Watkins has responded what he told you at that meeting.

If you'd like to state what your responses were with respect to the plea agreements.

MR. WATKINS: Sure. We met and Mr. Hall was very respectful, very pleasant that day. I explained to him that the plea agreement I had, we had to work from that per my office's policy. He didn't seem to like that too much. He said he would be sending me additional documents and be in contact. I told him, I said, No disrespect, but if you call me, I'm going to have to hang up on you because I can't talk with you because you're represented by counsel. I'm not disrespecting you. I just can't communicate directly with you. And he told me,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Well, respond in writing. I said, I'm not going to.
          He has, you know, sent these documents,
continues to send them and file them. And, you know,
obviously, Judge, he's got the understanding that even
though you've ruled the Uniform Commercial Code doesn't
apply to this case, he's got some sort of
battle-of-the-forms mentality, UCC contract law that by me
not responding to his last submission that I've accepted
it, like some law school case with a battle of the forms
that we saw dealt with years ago.
          You know, I told him I wouldn't respond to it.
These principles don't govern. If he wants to enter a
plea, he's going to have to accept the Court's ruling that
there's no plea agreement.
          And we might even could short circuit this.
would doubt, even if somehow I had accepted that, you
know, the Court has to accept the plea agreement. And
with no jail time, no supervised release, even if I went
and put my John Hancock on it right now, I would imagine
that Your Honor would say, well, I'm not going to accept
this. This isn't right for this type of case. So it's
sort of a moot point anyway.
          MR. GORDON HALL: Let me ask a couple of
questions, Your Honor, first please?
          THE COURT: All right.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then, just quickly. Mr. Stepp, are you aware of any representations that the Government made that do not match up with Mr. Watkins with respect to accepting or not accepting the plea agreement?

MR. STEPP: No, ma'am. He -- there was never any -- even any appearance of acquiescence that if he came back with some other offer, the Government might somehow accept it. As I read this plea agreement, proposed plea agreement, which I got on the ECF yesterday, it is -- the defendant says, well, we will plead to -- Gordon Hall would plead to Count 2. The Government would dismiss all remaining counts. And then the things that the Court referred to in Paragraph 7 regarding no jail time, no supervised release, all of the things about restitution has been provided for, all that kind of stuff, those were things that the Government told us in the meeting and told Mr. Hall in the meeting that, you know, they had their form. And that that's the only document that they were going to be operating off of. And if he -- they didn't want to accept it, then that was that and he wouldn't providing individualized or detailed responses to any counterproposals.

THE COURT: Okay.

I'll find that the Government has no --

MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge.

1 THE COURT: Yes? 2 MR. GORDON HALL: I need to ask a couple of 3 questions first. 4 THE COURT: Okav. MR. GORDON HALL: Is it both Mr. Stepp's and 5 6 Mr. Watkins' position that they didn't bring and weren't in possession of a document entitled Amended Plea 7 Agreement in the November 25th hearing where we had? And 8 number two, was it -- is it their position that they're 9 10 denying that they re -- that their secretary of --11 THE COURT: The issue is whether or not they're 12 accepting this document. And even if they do, meaning the Government, the Court has to decide whether or not it will 13 14 accept the document in terms of the plea. 15 And so the Government has indicated, very 16 emphatically, it did not accept this amended plea 17 agreement orally or in writing. And then the Court, even if the Government had accepted it, upon review of it finds 18 19 that the defendants are requiring that they have no 20 imprisonment, no supervised release, that the Government 21 accept all payments to setoff and settle any charges, and 22 that basically there is a hundred percent setoff stipulated by this private record to give them full credit 23 24 for everything. The Court would not accept such a plea 25 agreement under the circumstances of this case.

1 MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge. 2 THE COURT: So therefore, for purposes of your 3 plea to go forward, there will be no plea agreement under 4 this document in this case. So you can only plead knowing that there is no plea agreement here. 5 6 MR. GORDON HALL: Your Honor, I conditionally 7 accept your offer that you said there's no jail time on proof of claim that that document doesn't say that it's 8 for time served, which is already at nine months, and 9 allow you to assess any other amount of time in accordance 10 11 with that agreement. And --12 THE COURT: But --MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge. Allow me to 13 finish. 14 THE COURT: -- even if I allow time served --15 16 MR. GORDON HALL: Please allow me to finish. 17 THE COURT: Hold on a second. You are stating 18 that no other jail time. The Court won't accept that 19 agreement. The Court needs to be able to sentence you as 20 appropriate --21 MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Your Honor. Excuse 22 me, Your Honor. You can assess whichever jail time you 23 want just in accordance to the agreement --24 THE COURT: But there is no agreement. 25 MR. GORDON HALL: -- between the parties.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: There is no agreement. There is no agreement. And I've ruled there is no agreement.

Judge, excuse me. MR. GORDON HALL: conditionally accept that on proof of claim that the apparent intention of the parties as manifested by their actions and under contract law doesn't indicate that there is an agreement. And that there -- and that to deny said agreement wouldn't constitute a trespass on both the substantive agreement between the accommodation party and the United States of America, the plaintiff. And number two, the agreement in form and understanding between the parties -- between the defendant and the Government as went forward under a properly implemented plea agreement procedure conducted between the Government and the defendant, and that some of these facts that have been stated here earlier by Mr. Stepp and Mr. Watkins indicating that they did not receive that amended plea agreement on the 25th, or on the 27th that Mr. Watkins didn't receive also the email on the second notice, but on the 25th he received an email of the amended that was sent and put in the mail on the 25th, as well as I believe that the Notice of Default Consent to Judgment, that he not only received it by email on the day, and I don't recall which day it was, it would have been I believe the Wednesday after Thanksgiving.

1 THE COURT: He has indicated he has seen this agreement and he does not accept it. Okay? So regardless 2 of all these dates --3 MR. GORDON HALL: Yeah, but even if he didn't 4 orally or in writing accept it, that doesn't mean -- and I 5 6 conditionally accept that doesn't mean that there isn't an acceptance by the apparent intention of Mr. Watkins --7 THE COURT: Okay. But the Court has ruled 8 otherwise. 9 10 MR. GORDON HALL: -- made manifest by his 11 actions. 12 THE COURT: Okay. The Court has ruled I find that there is no plea agreement in this 13 14 case; that is, the original plea agreement as indicated by 15 the Government as well as this amended plea agreement 16 under Entry No. 175 and 175-1 as there is no meeting of 17 the minds. I find that the Government --18 MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Hold on. I find the Government did 20 not assent to such agreement. And even if it had, based 21 on all of the information contained herein and the nature 22 and circumstances of these particular charges as well as 23 the charges for which you are standing before this Court, 24 that the Court would not have accepted this particular 25 plea agreement.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This plea of nolo contendre as offered by Mr. Gordon L. Hall appears to be conditioned on the plea agreement and such plea agreement has not been accepted by this Court. Therefore, I also find that he has not knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily entered into this plea because it is conditioned on this plea agreement. MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge. THE COURT: Yes? MR. GORDON HALL: I conditionally accept that on proof of claim that under -- under the constitution I don't have the right to due process and equal protection under the law, that you're not trespassing on a contract between the Government and the defendant as well as a contract between the accommodation party and the plaintiff. THE COURT: Okay. I've heard enough. I'm sorry, gentlemen, but the plea is off. We are going to proceed to trial. I will get with counsel with respect to Mr. Gordon Hall to find out what dates work for you all. If Mr. Benton Hall, which we gave a recess for his case, we'll have him come back in here, we will make the individual determination on his case --

Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
US District Court
District of South Carolina

Excuse me, Judge.

MR. GORDON HALL:

1 THE COURT: -- but with respect to this case, we 2 will come up with a date. MR. GORDON HALL: 3 Excuse me. 4 THE COURT: The only way that this case will not go to trial is if there is a true plea agreement that the 5 Court would look to ahead of time and give some 6 7 consideration as to whether to accept it. Right now, there is no acceptance of responsibility that will be 8 9 allowed for purposes of the Presentence Investigation 10 Report. 11 MS. SALVINI: Can I just -- Your Honor, Ms. Salvini for Mr. Benton Hall, since I've been in the 12 courtroom during this proceeding. If we can just -- if I 13 14 can make clear on the record, so I can explain to 15 Mr. Benton Hall and maybe this would also help explain to 16 Mr. Gordon Hall, when the Court says unless there's a plea 17 agreement between the Government and Mr. Gordon and Benton 18 Hall that is actually signed with Mr. Watkins' specific 19 signature --20 THE COURT: Or a representative in his office 21 for some reason if he was busy or could not be on this 22 case --23 MS. SALVINI: Okay. THE COURT: -- then it has to be an authorized 24 25 agreement. It cannot be constructively done, orally done.

```
1
     It has to be as to the Court's understanding that that is
 2
     the true intentions of the Government with respect to any
 3
     plea agreement.
               MS. SALVINI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
               MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me, Judge.
 5
 6
               And allow me -- allow me a moment, Mr. Stepp.
 7
               Your Honor, you made a statement that
     Mr. Watkins has not a meeting of the minds.
 8
     classical contract law, that's true. Under modern
9
     contract law, that's -- that is not the way things are
10
     done under modern contract law.
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Gordon Hall, I have ruled --
12
               MR. GORDON HALL: Allow me to finish, Judge.
13
               THE COURT: I have ruled. I'm done. I'm done.
14
15
     I've given you more than ample time to deal with this --
16
               MR. GORDON HALL: I think it's dis -- excuse me.
17
               THE COURT: And I believe that we have --
               MR. GORDON HALL: Excuse me.
18
19
               THE COURT: -- gone over this.
20
               MR. GORDON HALL: Allow me to finish talking,
21
     Your Honor. I've allowed you to talk. I feel it's
22
     improper to allow disingenuous statements by the Judge --
23
     and I'm not trying to be disrespectful, Judge --
24
               THE COURT: When I said meeting of the minds,
25
     he, meaning Mr. Watkins, as a Government representative on
```

```
1
     this particular case and legal counsel to the Government,
 2
     has emphatically stated that he has not accepted orally or
 3
     in writing this amended plea agreement --
 4
               MR. GORDON HALL: That isn't true, Your Honor.
     That is a true statement and you continue to obfuscate it
 5
     with, number one, the meeting of the minds is not required
 6
 7
     under modern contract law today.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. But he has not accepted it.
     Sir, I'm speaking.
9
               MR. GORDON HALL: I was speaking first.
10
11
               THE COURT: He has not accepted --
               MR. GORDON HALL: Would you please not interrupt
12
13
     me?
14
               THE COURT: It's my courtroom.
15
               MR. GORDON HALL: And it's my court case so --
16
               THE COURT: It's my courtroom.
17
               MR. GORDON HALL: -- would you allow me to --
18
               THE COURT: I'm speaking.
19
               MR. GORDON HALL: I am also speaking.
20
               THE COURT: I'm speaking. Do you need me to
21
     have the Marshals escort you out of the courtroom?
22
               MR. GORDON HALL: Under threat, duress, and
23
     coercion, I'll shut up and allow you to override me and
24
     not allow me to speak.
25
               THE COURT: He has indicated he has not accepted
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the agreement. Okay? Even if he had, the Court has made a finding that it will not accept this agreement as written because it is unfair considering the facts and circumstances of this case. I do not find it equitable. I do not find it in the best interests of public policy. So the Court would not accept this agreement even if the Government had based on my reading of this agreement and understanding of the facts and history of this particular case. So that matter is closed as to this agreement. MR. GORDON HALL: May I be allowed to use a phone, Your Honor, and contract my counsel? THE COURT: Your counsel is right here, Mr. Stepp, on record of this court. MR. GORDON HALL: And I'm requesting the use of a phone so I don't waste this Court's time any further and a recess so I can come back in here and deal with this. THE COURT: Your counsel is right here. Mr. Stepp, do you have anything else to add? MR. STEPP: Just one thing, Judge. The -- in the event that a -- that Mr. Gordon Hall wishes to -- upon reflection decides to request the Court to go forward on a nolo contendre plea, open plea on all counts, no deals, nothing, just his request to the Court to do a no contest plea on all counts, would the Court require anything more?

I know the

You talked about a plea agreement.

Government's position on a nolo plea is that they don't -they can't assent to that.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. STEPP: But a nolo plea on all counts, if that's what he wanted to do, would we have to get anything in writing from the Government?

THE COURT: And I apologize for that. What I meant by that is that he has the ability to go forward on it.

 $\mbox{MR. GORDON HALL:}$  Under the original plea agreement offered by the Government.

THE COURT: Well, under just on your own without any plea agreement.

But I'm saying that any plea agreement in this case has to be in writing. I apologize. I was not trying to suggest that there would only be a going forward if there is a plea agreement. It's just that it has to be signed and executed by the Government appropriately and with authority, not as indicated by defendants in terms of there being some type of acquiescence or assent or constructive signature or things of that nature. So there doesn't have to be a plea agreement. If he wishes to move forward on all counts. Right now, I'm not allowing him to accept responsibility so you would have to talk me out of that.

MR. STEPP: I understand. And just for the clarification of the record, on Document No. 175 that the Court has referred to, we did -- I've seen this back and forth thing where the Government sends the proposed plea agreement. It was provided to Mr. Hall and his son. They had some written responses, a counterproposal, for lack of a better phrase.

We did have a meeting at the detention center in Spartanburg. The Government, as we've already talked about, rejected any change to it. It was their -- it was the Government's offer and that was all they were going to do. There were going to be no amendments, no changes, no difference.

They said they would work on something, they being the Halls. They would get back to the Government. They sent, I believe, a second offer and directly, I believe, to the Government.

I was cut out of that operation. And this is something they're doing. I didn't come up with these proposed changes or anything. As far as I know,

Ms. Salvini didn't come up with any of these.

The Government's made it very clear that they have their offer and that was all they were going to do.

The Halls have sent them maybe one, maybe two, however many proposed changes, they have been sending them to

them.

From what I believe I read here is that he believes that they've engaged in some negotiations, that the Government can be held in default. That even if they don't manifest acceptance or written rejection that somehow they can be held to an agreement.

And I'm not aware of any provision of the criminal law, the criminal rules of procedure that would force either party under any circumstances to be put into an agreement that they didn't sign off on or certainly an agreement that they manifest to the Court in open court they disagree with it, they have no intention of entering that agreement, that they could somehow be held to it.

I'm just not aware of any aspect of the law or the rules of court that would allow that.

MR. GORDON HALL: Is there any record in opposition to said record?

MR. WATKINS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. WATKINS: I want to put on the record at this point you've entered an order that they're not to file things except through counsel. I've not filed any motion for contempt or anything like that to enforce that, though I've been inundated with filings.

I just want to put everyone on notice, Your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Honor, the next filing the Government sees that's in disregard of this Court's order, it will be moving for contempt and sanctions, whether that be asking that they be removed from general population to solitary confinement, or whatever we can do at this point. We've been patient, Your Honor. We should be in trial right now. We were -- I was ready to go to trial. I filed my trial brief, jury instructions. And with the hope there would be some good faith negot -- or just plain speaking. THE COURT: Right. MR. WATKINS: And here we are with these gamesmanships with the battle of the forms. I'm --THE COURT: Okay. And the Court will address that. I remind you, Mr. Gordon Hall, again, you are not to submit any filings to this Court. All such filings shall be through your counsel, Mr. Stepp. I remind you that violation of any of the Court's orders, you could find yourself in a contempt proceeding before this Court as being violative of that order. If you wish to submit any plea negotiations, they have to go through your counsel.

Only because I believed that you all were trying to get some true resolution to this case did I allow the  $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \left$ 

```
1
     Government to sit with you through your counsel. But I
 2
     see now that you need to be made clear to understand that
 3
     that does not mean that just because I allowed the
 4
     Government to speak directly to you while your counsel was
     sitting there that that allows you to engage in
 5
 6
     conversations with the Government in written or oral
 7
     communications on your own.
               All such communications or correspondence must
 8
     go through your counsel. Any filings with the Court could
9
10
     be deemed to be in contempt of this Court's oral order now
     and then my two, if not more, previous written rulings in
11
12
     that regard.
13
                      This proceeding is concluded.
               Okay.
14
               MR. WATKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
          (WHEREUPON, Defendant Gordon Hall left the
16
          courtroom.)
                                  * * *
17
     I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
18
19
     the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
20
21
         s/Karen E. Martin
                                                12/14/2013
     Karen E. Martin, RMR, CRR
22
                                         Date
23
24
25
```